

Claims

Claims 1-26 remain in the application. Claims 8, 13, 14, 20, 21, and 25 have been amended. Claims 27-32 were withdrawn previously without traverse.

Remarks/Arguments

The Examiner has requested a restriction of invention under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and has identified two distinct inventions:

- I. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 15-19, 22-24, and 26, drawn to device, classified in class 257, subclass 1+; and
- II. Claims 8, 13, 20, 21, and 25, drawn to a method (product-by-process), classified in class 438, subclass 106.


Applicant notes claim 14 was omitted from the Examiner's list of claims.

Applicant has amended claims 8, 13, 14, 20, 21, and 25 to reflect the language of claims 1-7 within Group I. Applicant believes, and respectfully submits, that all claims are thereby drawn to a device, classified accordingly to class 257, subclass 1+.

There is no change to inventorship caused by the above election. Applicant looks forward to receiving a first Office Action in due course.

Please charge any additional fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1142.

Respectfully submitted,



Gary S. Morris, Reg. No. 40,735

Kenyon & Kenyon LLP
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005-1257
U.S.A.

3 May 2007

Date

Tel: (202) 220-4200
Fax: (202) 220-4201

AO/sw